



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/614,552

07/07/2003

Sridhar Satuloori

5681-58400

5862

35690

7590

03/13/2007

MEYERTONS, HOOD, KIVLIN, KOWERT & GOETZEL, P.C.

700 LAVACA, SUITE 800

AUSTIN, TX 78701

EXAMINER

HAMZA, FARUK

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2155

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
--	-----------	---------------

3 MONTHS

03/13/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/614,552

Applicant(s)

SATULOORI ET AL.

Examiner

Faruk Hamza

Art Unit

2155

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/13/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the application filed on July 07, 2003. Claims 1-26 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to examiner how content can be disabled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology

Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Holtz et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,760,916) hereinafter referred as Holtz.

Holtz teaches the invention as claimed including a multimedia production and distribution system collects or assembles a media production from a variety of sources including television stations and other media hosting facilities. The media production is categorized and indexed for retrieval and distribution across a wired or wireless network, such as Internet, to any clients (See abstract).

As to claim 1, Holtz teaches a method, comprising:

processing a request from a client, wherein the request initiates or continues a session between a server and the client (abstract, Column 6, lines 49-Column 7, lines 12, Holtz discloses session between client and server);

streaming out content from the server to the client as a partial fulfillment of the request (Column 28, lines 4-48, Holtz discloses streaming content);

while processing the request:

determining if the content should be disabled (Column 17, lines 6-36, Column 28, lines 4-48, Holtz discloses determining if the streaming should be disabled); and

if the content should be disabled, disabling the content without terminating the session (Column 17, lines 6-36, Column 28, lines 4-48, Holtz discloses stopping streaming without terminating session).

As to claim 2, Holtz teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said determining comprises detecting an occurrence of a security violation during said processing (Column 17, lines 6-36, Column 28, lines 4-48).

As to claim 3, Holtz teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said determining comprises detecting an occurrence of a process timeout (Column 21, lines 21-43).

As to claim 4, Holtz teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said disabling comprises preventing the client from accessing content referenced by a hyperlink associated with the content streamed to the client (Column 27, lines 41-51).

As to claim 5, Holtz teaches the method of claim 4, wherein said preventing comprises:

maintaining a lookup table of all hyperlinks associated with the content streamed to the client (Fig. 11, Column 44, lines 1-8)); and

invalidating the hyperlinks by modifying said lookup table to redirect the hyperlinks to an error message (Holtz inherently teaches this feature. Holtz teaches using URL or Hyperlink for streaming. When URL or Hyperlink is not valid it redirects to error message).

As to claim 6, Holtz teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said disabling comprises instructing a controller on the client to disable content received by the client (Column 27, lines 41-51).

As to claim 7, Holtz teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the controller is provided by the server independent from any application running on the server (Column 28, lines 4-48).

As to claim 8, Holtz teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the controller is specific to an application running on server (Column 28, lines 4-48).

As to claim 9, Holtz teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the controller is operable to disable the content by prohibiting the content from being copied or saved (Column 27, lines 53-65).

As to claim 10, Holtz teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the controller is provided by the client (Column 27, lines 23-40).

As to claim 11, Holtz teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the controller is sent to the client (Column 27, lines 23-40).

As to claim 12, Holtz teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the controller is sent to the client at the beginning of the session (Column 27, lines 23-40).

As to claim 13, Holtz teaches the method of claim 11, wherein the controller is sent to the client upon determining that the content should be disabled (Column 27, lines 23-40).

Claims 14-26 do not teach or define any new limitations other than above claims 1-13. Therefore rejected for similar reasons.

4. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in its entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Massey, Jr. (U.S. Patent Number 6,792,411) discloses method for the sale of movies prior to the production.
- Brooks et al. (U.S. Patent Number 7,047,305) discloses personal broadcasting system for audio and video data using wide area network.
- Rabne et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,006,332) discloses rights management system for digital media.
- LeCroy et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,996,624) discloses reliable real-time transport protocol.
- Conrath (U.S. Patent Number 7,103,770) discloses Point-to-Point data streaming using a mediator node for administration and security.
- Dean et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,223,292) discloses authorization system to ensure media streaming requests are implemented to access unmodified original content.
- Koll (U.S. Patent Number 7,092,939) discloses interactive streaming ticker.
- Bayer et al. (U.S. Patent Number 7,171,567) discloses system for protecting information over the internet.
- Scott et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,311,596) discloses continuous authentication system.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faruk Hamza whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2155

571-272-7969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached at 571-272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 886-217-9197 (toll -free).

Faruk Hamza

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unite 2155


SALEH NAJJAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER